

# Conformance Requirements Guideline

Version 0.1

Sept 10, 2001

## Editors:

Lynne Rosenthal (lynne.rosenthal@nist.gov)

Mark Skall ([mark.skall@nist.gov](mailto:mark.skall@nist.gov))

## Contributors:

Lofton Henderson (lofton@rockynet.com)

## Abstract

Document identifying the conformance requirements that need to be included or addressed in OASIS and ebXML specifications. Target audience is primarily specification developers, followed by conformance test suite developers.

## Status of this Document

First Draft

## Document Version History

22 Aug 2001 updated based on Aug 16 Telecon.

2 Aug 2001 initial draft

## Reference Documents

ebXML Technical Architecture Specification, Conformance Clause  
(<http://www.ebxml.org/specs/index.htm>).

ISO Guide 2: Standardization and related activities – General vocabulary.

33 **1. Introduction**

34

35 The objective of this document is to identify the conformance requirements that shall be  
36 included or addressed in OASIS specifications. Conformance requirements are the  
37 expression, in the form of a statement, which conveys the criteria to be fulfilled [ISO  
38 Guide 2]. The conformance requirements are stated in a conformance clause or statement  
39 within the specification. This document describes the purpose and scope of a  
40 conformance clause as well as the associated issues that a conformance clause should  
41 address. Where ever possible, sample text and examples will be given.

42

43 The information contained is produced as the result of extensive experience in the  
44 development and implementation of conformance clauses and test suites for consensus  
45 standards and specifications. It is based on the principles and requirements prescribed by  
46 international standards (e.g., ISO/IEC and IEEE) as well as extractions from ebXML,  
47 OASIS and W3C specifications.

48

49 **2. Scope and Audience**

50

51 This document specifies the general requirements and definitions concerning  
52 conformance and related issues. It is intended to contribute fundamentally towards  
53 mutual understanding amongst developers of specifications and conformance test suites  
54 and tools. It is also intended to provide a suitable source for teaching and for reference,  
55 briefly covering basic theoretical and practical principles of conformance.

56

57 It is not the aim of this document to define specific conformance requirements for any  
58 specific specification – this is the responsibility of committees chartered to develop  
59 functional specifications.

60

61 This document is intended primarily for the developers of specifications to help enable  
62 them to develop a conformance statement within their specification and to create a  
63 testable, unambiguous specification. Secondary audiences include, but are not limited to:  
64 developers of conformance test suites, software implementers, international standards  
65 bodies, and other industry organizations.

66

67 **3. Conformance (to this document)**

68 *[Ed Note: should this section be the last section of this document?]*

69

70 In order to conform to this document *[Ed note: specification?]*, an OASIS specification  
71 shall contain a conformance clause.

72

73 **4. Normative references**

74

75 The following normative documents contain provisions, which through reference in this  
76 text, constitute provisions of this document. At the time of publications, the editions  
77 indicated below were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to

78 agreements based on this document are encouraged to investigate the possibility of  
79 applying the most recent editions of the standards indicated below.  
80  
81 ISO/IEC Guide 2: Standardization and related activities – General vocabulary  
82 ISO/IEC Directives Part 3: Rules for the structure and drafting of International Standards.  
83 ebXML Technical Architecture Specification  
84 RFC 2119: Keywords for use in RFC’s to Indicate Requirement Levels  
85

## 86 **5. Terms and definitions**

87  
88 For the purposes of this document, the following relevant terms and definitions apply:  
89

90 **Certification** – the acknowledgement that a validation has been completed and the  
91 criteria established by the certifying organization has been met. Certification has a legal  
92 connotation.

93 **Conformance** – the fulfillment of a product, process, or service of specified  
94 requirements.

95 **Conformance Testing** – a method of verifying implementations of a specification to  
96 determine whether or not deviations from the specification exist.

97 **Implementation** – the realization of a specification – it can be a software product,  
98 system, program, protocol, or document instance.

99 **Validation** – the process of testing software for conformance to a specific specification.  
100

## 101 **6. Conformance Clause**

102  
103 The conformance clause is a section of a specification that defines the requirements,  
104 criteria, or conditions that must be satisfied to claim conformance. The conformance  
105 clause identifies *what* must conform and *how* conformance can be met. Typically it is a  
106 high-level description of what is required of implementers and application developers. It  
107 may refer to other parts of the standard. It may specify sets of functions, which may take  
108 the form of profiles, levels, or other structures. Additionally, it may specify minimal  
109 requirements for certain functions and minimal requirements for implementation-  
110 dependent values. It may also specify the permissibility of extensions, options, and  
111 alternative approaches and how they are to be handled.  
112

113 Every specification shall contain a conformance clause.

### 114 **6.1. Rationale for a conformance clause**

115 A conformance clause can:

- 116 • Ensure a common understanding of conformance and what is required to claim  
117 conformance to a specification,
- 118 • Ensure that conformance is consistently addressed within a specification or across  
119 related specifications,
- 120 • Promote interoperability and open interchange,

- 121 • Encourage the use of applicable conformance test suites as well as promote  
122 uniformity in the development of conformance test suites.  
123

## 124 **6.2. Conformance keywords**

125 There are specific words that are used throughout the specification to denote whether or  
126 not requirements are mandatory, optional, or suggestions. Using these keywords help to  
127 identify the testable statements in a specification. Although the keywords used within the  
128 ISO/IEC community differ from the keywords used within the IETF communities, they  
129 achieve the same results.  
130

### 131 ISO Keywords:

132 SHALL – to indicate requirements strictly to be followed in order to conform to  
133 the standard and in which no deviation is permitted. Equivalent expressions  
134 include: is to, is required to, has to, it is necessary. Do not use MUST as an  
135 alternative for shall.

136 SHALL NOT - converse of SHALL.

137 SHOULD – to indicate that among several possibilities one is recommended as  
138 particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others.

139 SHOULD NOT – converse of SHOULD.

140 MAY – to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard.  
141 Equivalent expressions include: is permitted, is allowed.

142 NEED NOT – to indicate a course of action is not required. CAN – statement of  
143 possibility and capability, whether material, physical or causal. Equivalent  
144 expressions include: be able to, it is possible to.

145 CANNOT – converse of CAN.  
146

### 147 IETF Keywords (RFC2119)

148 MUST - the requirement is an absolute requirement of the specification.

149 MUST NOT – the requirement is an absolute prohibition of the specification.

150 REQUIRED – see MUST.

151 SHALL – see MUST.

152 SHALL NOT – see MUST NOT.

153 SHOULD – there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances to ignore a  
154 particular item, but the full implications must be understood and carefully  
155 weighed before choosing a difference course.

156 SHOULD NOT – there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances when  
157 the particular behavior is acceptable or even useful, but the full implications  
158 should be understood and the case carefully weighed before implementing any  
159 behavior described with this label.

160 RECOMMENDED – see SHOULD.

161 MAY - the item is truly optional. One vendor may choose to include the item  
162 because a particular marketplace requires it or because the vendor feels that it  
163 enhances the product while another vendor may omit the same item. An  
164 implementation which does not include a particular option. MUST be prepared to  
165 interoperate with another implementation which does include the option, though

166 perhaps with reduced functionality. In the same vein an implementation, which  
167 does include a particular option, MUST be prepared to interoperate with another  
168 implementation that does not include the option (except, of course, for the feature  
169 the option provides.)

170

171 Additionally keywords include:

172 MANDATORY

173 OPTIONAL

174 NORMATIVE

175 INFORMATIVE

176

177

## 178 **7. Issues to Address in a Conformance Clause**

### 179 **7.1. What needs to conform**

180 The conformance clause identifies the “class of products” that will be developed, where  
181 class of product may be an implementation, application, service, and/or protocol.

182 Additionally, the clause specifies the conditions that shall be met in order to claim  
183 conformance for that class of product. There may be several classes of products that are  
184 identified, each with its own conformance statement or set of conformance criteria.

185

186 For example, the OASIS SAML Conformance Clause (draft Aug 17, 2001) provides  
187 conformance statements for implementations of SAML (e.g. implementing systems,  
188 tools) and applications that execute on SAML implementations.

189

### 190 **7.2. Profiles and Levels**

191 Profiles are used as a method for defining subsets of a specification by identifying the  
192 functionality, parameters, options, and/or implementation requirements necessary to  
193 satisfy the requirements of a particular community of users. Specifications that explicitly  
194 recognize profiles should provide rules for profile creation, maintenance, registration and  
195 applicability. Appendix A provides additional information on profiles.

196

197 Levels are used to indicate nested subsets of functionality, ranging from minimal or core  
198 requirements to full or complete functionality. Typically, level 1 is the minimal or core  
199 of the specification that must be implemented by all products. Level 2 includes all of  
200 level 1 and also additional functionality. This nesting continues until level n, which  
201 consists of the entire specification.

202

203 It is possible for a specification to have both profiles and levels. If profiles and/or levels  
204 are defined, the conformance clause specifies which (if any) of these profiles and/or  
205 levels is mandatory. Additionally, any conditions associated with a particular profile,  
206 level or combination of these needs to be specified.

207 **7.3. Extensions**

208 An extension to a specification is a mechanism to incorporate functionality beyond what  
209 is defined in the specification. An extension may be *private* (often vendor specific) or  
210 may be *public* (a full description of the extension is public). Private extensions are  
211 usually truly private, i.e., valid for a specific implementation or are only known by prior  
212 agreement between implementations. Public extensions are extensions in which the  
213 syntax, semantics, identifiers, etc are defined and published allowing anyone to  
214 implement the extended functionality.

215

216 The presence of extensions can create serious problems in open interchange  
217 environments. Clearly, there are two main approaches to handling implementation  
218 specific extensions to a specification – to disallow extensions or to allow them.

219

220 **7.3.1. Disallow Extensions**

221 If extensions are forbidden, each implementation must precisely implement the complete  
222 specification. This is called *strict conformance*. This may be a desirable condition to  
223 impose on applications of a specification. For example, a software program or XML  
224 document instance.

225

226 **7.3.2. Allow Extensions**

227 If extensions are allowed, each implementation shall fully support all required  
228 functionality of the specification exactly as specified and the extensions shall not  
229 contradict nor cause the non-conformance of functionality defined in the specification.  
230 This more common approach usually includes some additional, more specific,  
231 requirements in the conformance clause, such as:

232

- 233 • Extensions shall not re-define semantics for existing functions,
- 234 • Extensions shall not cause standard-conforming functions (i.e., functions that do not  
235 use the extensions) to execute incorrectly,
- 236 • Extensions shall follow the principles and guidelines of the specification they extend,  
237 that is, the specifications must be extended in a standard manner (see section below),
- 238 • For implementations and/or applications that contain extensions, extensions shall be  
239 clearly described in supporting documentation and the extensions shall be marked as  
240 such within the implementation/application,
- 241 • For implementations that contain extensions, there shall be a mode under which the  
242 implementation can be directed to produce only conformant files (documents) or to  
243 operate in a strictly conformant manner.

244

245 **7.3.3. Mechanism to allow extensions**

246 One mechanism to allow extensions within a specification is to provide a standard way of  
247 defining the extension. Basically, this provides a “standard way of being non-standard”.

248 This helps to ensure predictable handling of extensions, that is, its recognition as such  
249 and the appropriate action (i.e., to ignore or to implement). The nature of the extension  
250 may dictate the method for defining the extension. It may be possible to define a  
251 function that indicates external (from the specification) functionality. This external  
252 function may take the form of an escape or control character or be an identifier, which

253 whenever invoked indicates an extension follows. Another method, especially when  
254 extending a list of numeric parameters is to use a scheme where positive values represent  
255 standardized values and negative values are reserved for private use.

256

257 For example, the ISO Computer Graphics Metafile (CGM) is the standard on which the  
258 W3C WebCGM Recommendation is based. It provides both a standard function (GDP  
259 element) for defining private graphics functionality, as well as the use of negative values  
260 to define private values.

261 Another mechanism that minimizes interoperability problems when extensions are  
262 allowed is to have a register for extensions. This document, distinct from the official  
263 specification, contains a list of recognized extensions to the standard. See section below.

264

#### 265 **7.3.4. Registration of implementer extensions or defined values**

266 Registration is a procedure that allows extensions to be acknowledged and made  
267 available to the public. The procedures provide for the extension to have some rigor and  
268 technical review. Typically, the committee developing the specification is responsible  
269 for processing the registration of an extension, thus ensuring adequate quality of a  
270 proposed extension and a technical description sufficient to be uniformly implementable.  
271 Often registered extensions may migrate into a later version of the specification.

#### 272 **7.4. Implementation defined values**

273 Specifications sometimes need to address:

- 274 • Implementation dependent ranges, e.g., minimum or maximum allowed sized,
- 275 • Values that may be different for different conforming implementations of the  
276 standard (protocols?),
- 277 • Features reserved for registration.

278

#### 279 **7.5. Alternate approaches**

280 Specifications may describe several different ways to accomplish its operation (e.g., a  
281 choice of file formats, protocols, or codes). In such a case, the conformance clause shall  
282 specify under what conditions an implementation is considered to be conformant. Some  
283 possible ways to define conformance include mandating that an implementation shall:

- 284 1. implement only one approach (in which case, must it implement a specific  
285 approach or any one approach is sufficient),
- 286 2. implement every approach,
- 287 3. be allowed to implement none of the approaches.

288

289 Note: if the specification doesn't describe the different approaches, this becomes an  
290 implementation detail irrelevant to conformance.



#### 291 **7.6. International Character codes**

292 *[What needs to go here?]*

293

294

295

296 **8. What else can be addressed?**

297 Include assertions as part of the specification  
298 Specify a testing program (e.g., validation and certification process)

299  
300

301 Appendix A: Profiles  
302 (Informative )

303

304 *[Ed Note: The following is taken from ISO 8632 Computer Graphics Metafile Standard.*  
305 *Needs to be edited]*

306

307 A profile of a specification defines the options, elements, and parameters necessary to  
308 accomplish a particular function and maximize the probability of interchange between  
309 systems implementing the profile. Profiles are defined by application constituencies who  
310 agree to adhere to the same subset of the specification. A profile may be a subset of a  
311 single specification or may be part of the set of interrelated standards and profiles  
312 assembled for the purpose of accomplishing a larger functional purpose. A profile shall  
313 not specify any requirement that would contradict or cause non-conformance to its  
314 specification.

315

316 A profile may:

- 317 • Give the meaning of implementation dependent semantics of some elements,
- 318 • Enforce common resolution of ambiguous semantics,
- 319 • Ensure that identical use of identical elements and parameter values have the same  
320 meaning,
- 321 • Specify subsets or groupings of publicly defined extensions,
- 322 • Prohibit undefined or ill-defined elements or parameter values.

323

324 Profiles provide a means to:

- 325 • Improve interoperability between implementations by inhibiting the proliferation of  
326 private subsets of a specification,
- 327 • Provide a foundation for testing and promote uniformity of conformance tests,
- 328 • Enhance the availability of consistent implementations of a profile.

329

330

331

332